High Court Orders New Trial for Black; FBI Eavesdropped on Baker Associate

By John P. MacKenzie Washington Post Stati Writer

The Supreme Court ordered a new tax evasion trial yesterday for Fred B. Black Jr., former business associate of Robert G. (Bobby) Baker and a victim of admitted FBI electronic eavesdropping.

The Court did not say that conviction. The Supreme Court ordered heard.

The Court did not say that conviction.

Black and Baker, former hearing in Federal District Court here. But he objected ware business and secretary, were business partners in a. vending machine venture and codefendants in a civil lawsuit that erupted in a national scandal in 1963 over Baker's outside financial interests.

Baker, who resigned under fire, also faces trial, scheduled for Jan. 9, on tax evasion and other charges. Both men have hased their defenses largely on counter-charges that the Government engaged in massive invasions of their privacy and right to counsel.

The bugging of Black's suite came to light last May 24, three weeks after the Su-preme Court had refused to review Black's conviction, in an extraordinary confession by Solicitor General Thurgood Marshall.

Marshall volunteered that both before and after Black was indicted in 1963 FBI

been "bugged" and conversa-tagents had planted a little mi-tions with his lawyer over-tions with his suite in connection with

The Court did not say that conviction.

Black had been denied a fair trial solely because his Sheraton-Carlton Hotel suite had gears in prison and a \$10,000 fired to show this at a limited

See BLACK, AS, Col. 4



FRED B. BLACK JR. . . . new trial ordered

to any order setting aside the the removal of any doubt as

a fair trial would never be given to the new evidence repossible because there was no ported to us by the Solicitor way of making sure that the General," the Court said in an monitored information had unsigned opinion.

They argued for outright dismissal of the charges, a step Not participating in the decirately decreased as the court said in an unsigned opinion.

Justices John M. Harlan and Potter Stewart dissented.

course, vacating its earlier de 1962, and Justice Abe Fortas, nial of review, setting aside who once was Baker's lawyer. case back for a new trial.

jury verdict against Black. to Black receiving a fair trial Black's lawyers argued that with full consideration being

rarely decreed at the Su-sion were Justice Byron R. preme Court level. White, who was Deputy At-The Court set a middle torney General in 1961 and

the conviction and, without Harlan said the majority further argument, sending the "puts the cart before the case back for a new trial." This procedure will "permit conviction "prematurely." He said Marshall had suggested "the orderly procedure" fully developing the facts surrounding the eavesdropping.